

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS-Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/038,484	10/26/2001	Timothy S. December	IN-5350D	1579
26922	7590 02/04/2003			
BASF CORPORATION ANNE GERRY SABOURIN 26701 TELEGRAPH ROAD			. EXAMINER	
			LOVERING, RICHARD D	
SOUTHFIELD, MI 48034-2442		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1712	TA EK NOMBER
			DATE MAILED: 02/04/2003	
			DATE MAILED. 02/04/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/038,1484 Examiner

Applicant(s) DECEMBER

Group Art Unit 17/2

—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the co	ver sheet beneath the correspondence address—
Period for Response	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS SET TO EXPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	RE MONTH(S) FROM THE
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no efform the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a response with NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX</li> <li>Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response will, by statute, cause</li> </ul>	hin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
Status	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on NOV. 8, 2002	
☐ This action is FINAL.	
□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal maccordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 4	atters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in 53 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
X Claim(s)	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
□ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
□ Claim(s) 1 - 5	is/are rejected.
□ Claim(s)	
☐ Claim(s)	
Application Papers	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, P	ГО-948.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the	Examiner.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	
<ul> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority of □ received.</li> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)</li> </ul>	locuments have been
$\ \square$ received in this national stage application from the International Bu	ureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	•
Attachment(s)	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).	
Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-15
1	Other

Art Unit 1712

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 2. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for [(C) compounds having a plurality of methylol or methylalkoxy groups, does not reasonably provide enablement for (C) compounds having a plurality of mercapto groups. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. Compounds with mercapto groups create environmental problems on lead to yellowing, which would be unacceptable to applicant. See the specification page 2, lines 20 and 21.
- 3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is too long, i.e. > 250 words. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 4. Applicant should insert the status of the parent case (now U.S. Patent No. 6,380,323) on page 1 of the specification.
- 5. The references listed on the attached Form PTO-892 show the state of the art.

R. Lovering:cdc January 27, 2003

RICHARD D. LOVERING
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1200